UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL BURCIAGA,

Petitioner,

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND
WARDEN, CENTINELA STATE PRISON,

Respondent.

Respondent.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner and Respondent have objected. The Court accepts the Report and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that, on all his claims but one, Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and, therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003). The Court grants a certificate of appealability with respect to the issue of whether there was

sufficient evidence to establish that Petitioner acted with premeditation and deliberation when he shot at Torres.

DATED: December 4, 2018.

James ()/kln

JAMES V. SELNA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE